

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/815,343	04/01/2004	Dany Felix Maria Michiels	9292	8286
7590 05/03/2005			EXAMINER	
Thomas L. Mo	oses		DIXON, MI	ERRICK L
Legal Department, M-495 PO Box 1926			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Spartanburg, SC 29304			1774	<u> </u>
			DATE MAIL CD: 05/03/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(L.K
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
	10/815,343	MICHIELS, DANY	FELIX MARIA
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Merrick Dixon	1774	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with	h the correspondence ad	ldress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply if NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was precised to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	66(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirty will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONT cause the application to become ABA	oly be timely filed  (30) days will be considered timel  HS from the mailing date of this c  NDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 Fe</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E</li> </ul>	action is non-final. nce except for formal matte	•	e ments is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the original transfer of or the	epted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand on is required if the drawing(s	e. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). i) is objected to. See 37 Cl	` '
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Ap ity documents have been r (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	plication No eceived in this National eceived.	
	V	MERRICK DIXON PRIMARY EXAMIN	· _
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)	mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date ormal Patent Application (PTC	

Art Unit: 1774

15

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

16

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Lie et al( US 6,686,301) alone for reasons as set forth in the previous office action, inter alla.

17

Claims 9-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Li et al (US 6,686,301) in view of Morin et al (US 64979540 or Morin et al (US 6096156). Applicants' amendment now calls for the claim to include plasma activation for the textile rubber bond. Each reference to Morin et al teaches that it is known in the art to utilize plasma means to activate bonding between textile and rubber material ('156 : col 2, lines 7-14; '954 : col 2, lines 11-24.) It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention is made to combine the teaching of either reference to Morin et al and utilize such plasma type activation between the textile and rubber material, as taught by each reference to enhance the respective bonding therebetween- see '156, col 2, lines 13-14; '954, col 2, lines 24-26. Concerning claims 12-14, the '156, reference teaches air plasma activation in col 2, lines 14-20.

Art Unit: 1774

Concerning claim 15, the primary reference teaches the organosilane material in col 2, line 3. Concerning claims 11, the primary reference teaches aqueous dip in col 2, line 63-col 3, line 11.

18

Applicant's arguments filed 2-22-05 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicants argue that the amine-functional silane compound taught by Lie et al is not the same as contemplated by applicants. Applicants finally argue that the reference does not teach or suggest the use of an epoxy pretreatment to enhance to more perfect the bond between the silicone rubber and textile material the activation. To this the examiner responds that the cited Li et al reference indeed teaches an epoxy pretreatment of the textile in col 1, lines 57-60. Here, an epoxy material is taught. The examiner further submits that the cited reference indeed teaches same silane material in col 2, lines 1-3. In regards to claims 9-15, new references Morin et al ( US 6497954 and US 6096156) are submitted in response to the submitted amendment to same claims. Accordingly, any argument to said claims are now moot.

19

Applicant's submission of the requirements for the joint research agreement prior art exclusion under 35 U.S.C. 103(c) on 2-2-05 prompted the new ground(s) of rejection

Application/Control Number: 10/815,343

do Number. 10/015,54

Art Unit: 1774

under 37 CFR 1.109(b) presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.02(I)(3). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

20

Applicants who wish to send a facsimile (draft copies) for the examiner's immediate review can do so by using the Examiner's personal fax number at 571-273-1520. The faxing of all papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 O.G. 30 (November 15, 1989). NOTE: All facsimiles sent to the examiner's personal fax number should be in draft-forms and will be treated as informal.

Same facsimiles will not be entered in the related applications unless otherwise agreed and noted by the examiner.

The fax number for all other fascimile is 703-872-9306.

Information about **the status of an application** may be obtained from the Patent Information Retrieval system (**Private PAIR**).

Status inquires for **published applications** may be retrieved from either **Private PAIR** or **Public PAIR**. Questions about the PAIR system should be directed to the Electronic Business Center at **866-217-9197**.

Any questions concerning the instant communication should be directed to Examiner Dixon, at 571-272-1520, Mondays to Thursdays, between 12 noon and 8 PM, eastern time. The examiner's supervisor, Mrs. Rena Dye, can be reached at 571-272-3186.

Merrick Dixon

Primary Examiner

Group 1700